

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,831	Applicant(s) GIERING ET AL.
	Examiner C. Melissa Koslow	Art Unit 1793

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) C. Melissa Koslow. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Kenney. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 06 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the 35 USC 112 rejections. Clarified the meaning of "coding". This term means a composition comprising the at least one pair of mutually associated luminescent substances. Discussed the meaning of "complemented". Mr. Kenney said it has the regular dictionary meaning, not the meaning of this term given in the art. Discussed the rejection over claim 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/C. Melissa Koslow/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793